

### 0.0.1 Classical-quantum correspondence via Wigner representation

We must compute the trace of an operator  $A$  that may be represented as a matrix in the position space:

$$\text{Tr}A = \int dx \langle x|A|x \rangle = \int dx \int dx' \langle x|A|x' \rangle \delta(x - x'), \quad (0.0.1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h^{Nd}} \int dx \int dx' \int dp \langle x|A|x' \rangle e^{-ip(x-x')/\hbar}, \quad (0.0.2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h^{Nd}} \int dq \int dr \int dp \langle q + r/2|A|q - r/2 \rangle e^{-ipr/\hbar}. \quad (0.0.3)$$

Here, new variables  $q$  and  $r$  are introduced as  $q = (x + x')/2$  and  $r = x - x'$ . We introduce

$$A_W(q, p) = \int dr \langle q + r/2|A|q - r/2 \rangle e^{-ipr/\hbar}. \quad (0.0.4)$$

This is called the *Wigner representation* of  $A$ . (0.0.3) reads

$$\text{Tr}A = \frac{1}{h^{Nd}} \int dq \int dp A_W(q, p). \quad (0.0.5)$$

Therefore, if we can demonstrate that the Wigner representation of  $e^{-\beta H}$  is close to  $e^{-\beta H(q,p)}$  in the classical limit (that is, if we may assume  $\hbar$  is small), we are done.

**Discussion 1.** ‘Small’ or ‘large’ is always a relative concept, so we must have something to compare. Here, we say  $\hbar$  is small. With what do you compare it and conclude so?  $\square$

### 0.0.2 Wigner representation in the classical limit

We must look at the structure of the Wigner representation. Let us assume that the operator  $A$  is ‘normally ordered,’ so to speak. That is, all  $p$  appears on the right side (after)  $q$  and its position representation read

$$\langle x|A|x' \rangle = A \left( x, -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \delta(x - x'). \quad (0.0.6)$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \quad (0.0.7)$$

(0.0.4) reads<sup>1</sup>

$$A_W(q, p) = \int dr \left[ A \left( x, -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \delta(r) \right] e^{-ipr/\hbar}, \quad (0.0.8)$$

$$= \int dr \delta(r) A \left( x, +i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) e^{-ipr/\hbar} + O[\hbar], \quad (0.0.9)$$

$$= \int dr \delta(r) A(x, p) e^{-ipr/\hbar} + O[\hbar], \quad (0.0.10)$$

$$= A(q, p) + O[\hbar]. \quad (0.0.11)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Thanks to the normal ordering, we may ignore  $\partial/\partial q$  in  $A$ , and then integration by parts changes the sign of the differential operator in  $A$  when  $A$  is exchanged with the  $\delta$ -function.

This combined with (0.0.5) gives what we wished to demonstrate.

Of course, the above ‘demonstration’ is only formal, since the relation cannot always be accurate enough; the coefficient of  $O[\hbar]$  can be huge.

### 0.0.3 Comparison of classical and quantum free energies

We have discussed the relation between  $Z$  computed classically (with  $h^{3N}$  factor taken into account) and the true quantum  $Z$ . We know there must be corrections to the free energy for nonzero  $\hbar$ . Does it have a definite sign? If so, then  $A \leq A_{classical}$  or not?

**Discussion 1.** Landau and Feynman give opposite answers. Who is correct?  $\square$