## 8 Lecture 8: Bifurcation 2, maps

### 8.1 Singularities of maps

Consider $f \in C^{r}(M) . x \in M$ such that $f(x)=x$ is called a fixed point of $f$, which corresponds to a singularity in a vector field: no change in time. We can Taylor expand $f$ around it (often we choose the coordinate system so that $x=0$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=A x+f_{2}+\cdots+f_{r}+\cdots, \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{r}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $r$. If $A$ has no eigenvalue on the unit circle, the fixed point is called a hyperbolic fixed point. It is structurally stable.

We can introduce all the analogues of normal forms and unfoldings.

### 8.2 Representative hyperbolic fixed points

According to the eigenvalues of $A$, we can classify the fixed points. The 2-dimensional case is in Fig. 8.1.
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Figure 8.1: Representative hyperbolic fixed points [Fig. 2.1 of AP ]

In the rest of this lecture, we discuss an interval map $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$. That is an endomorphism of $[0,1]$.

### 8.3 Nonhyperbolic interval endomorphism

At a fixed point if $\left|f^{\prime}\right|=1 f$ is non－hyperbolic，and structurally unstable．

## 8．4 Versal unfolding of critical map with slope 1

We must distinguish two cases：the fixed point for cases with near the critical pa－ rameter value（1）depends on the parameter or（2）not．
Case（1）：The normal form with the linear term $x$ generally reads $x$ plus a higher degree polynomial．Thus，$-x+x^{2}$ is locally（i．e．，around the origin）enough．Then， unfolding should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mu, x)=\nu+(1+\mu) x+A x^{2} . \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

However，we can scale $x$ and reparameterize this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mu, x)=\nu+x \pm x^{2} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here，$\pm$ may be replaced by + with an appropriate sign change of $\nu$ so we have only to consider $f(y)=\nu+y+y^{2}$ ．The bifurcation diagram looks like Fig．8．2．



Figure 8．2：Fold：$\nu+y+y^{2}$ ；the right is a interval map example indicating a fold bifurcation ［Left：Fig．4．16b of AP］
For case（2）：Since we cannot add a constant term，the versal unfolding reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mu, x)=(1+\mu) x \pm x^{2} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the lowest order term that changes the local topology（a new fixed point） is $\pm x^{2}$ ．

The bifurcation diagram reads as Fig 8.3:



Figure 8.3: Bifurcation diagram for $(1+\mu) x+x^{2}$; Right. An interval map example.

### 8.5 Versal unfolding of critical map with slope -1

The case with the slope -1 is a bit complicated, because locally it seems that $f$ does not change much, but $f^{2}$ can change qualitatively. Notice that for $f(x)=-x$ $f^{2}(x)=x$, so it has a fixed line.

Adding $\pm x^{2}$ (and all other even powers) does not change the topology: suppose $f=-x+x^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{2}(x)=-\left(-x+x^{2}\right)+\left(-x+x^{2}\right)^{2}=x-2 x^{3}+x^{4}=x\left(1+2 x^{2}+x^{3}\right) \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so no new local fixed point appears.
Consider $f=-x \pm x^{3}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{2}(x)=-\left(-x \pm x^{3}\right) \pm\left(-x \pm x^{3}\right)^{3}=x- \pm 2 x^{3}+\cdots \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so with perturbation new fixed points show up (see Fig. 8.4).

Adding other terms does not alter the qualitative picture. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\nu, x)=(-1+\nu) x \pm x^{3} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a versal unfolding. This describes the so-called pitch-fork bifurcation.
The bifurcation diagram is Fig. 8.5.


Figure 8.4: $f^{2}$ near the slope -1 fixed point. A: at the bifurcation point, B: unfolding. A: Purple: $-x+x^{3}$, green: $-x-x^{3}$. B: purple: $-0.9 x+x^{3}$, green: $-1.1 x-x^{3}$
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Figure 8.5: pitchfork bifurcation [Fig. 4.18 of AP ]

### 8.6 Pitchfork bifurcations in logistic maps

The logistic map is a map defined on $[0,1]$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=a x(1-x) \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the parameter $a \in[0,4]$. As $a$ is increased, the map exhibits a series of pitchfork bifurcations as illustrated in the following bifurcation diagram (Fig. 8.6):

These bifurcations correspond to period-doubling bifurcations.

### 8.7 Feigenbaum critical phenomenon

Initially, Feigenbaum found numerically that
(1) The successive bifurcation parameter value behaves as $a_{n}=a_{\infty}-A \delta^{-n}$, where $\delta=4.66 \cdots$.
(2) The pattern size at the $n$-th bifurcation is compressed as $(-a)^{-n}$, where $a=$


Figure 8.6: Pitchfork accumulating to chaos $(a=3.5699456 \cdots)$ in the logistic map [Fig. 3.8. 3.9 of Nagashima \& Baba ]
$2.5029 \cdots$, where - sign implies that the pattern is flipped as $n$ increases by one. He found the universality as well: as long as the map is smooth $\left(C^{1}\right)$, these results do not depend on the map.


Figure 8.7: $f^{4}$ for $a=3$ is similar to $f^{4}$ for $a=4.44949$ [Fig. 3.11 of Nagashima \& Baba ]
Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{[n+1]}=g^{[n]} \circ g^{[n]} \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $g^{[0]}=g=f^{2}$.
Notice that we study $g=f \circ f$ or its iterates around $x=1 / 2$ (see Fig. 8.7). From
the figure we can guess that between the $n$-th and the $n+1$-pitchfork bifurcations (near $x=1 / 2$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{[n]}(a, x)=(-\alpha)^{-n} h^{[n]}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}, \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=(x-1 / 2)(-\alpha)^{n}, \varepsilon_{n}=A\left(a-a_{\infty}\right) \delta^{n} . \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Feigenbaum's conjecture is that the following limit is well-defined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{[n]}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow h(\varepsilon, y) \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any 'smooth' $g$.

### 8.8 Renormalization around $a_{\infty}$

(8.9) in terms of $h^{[n]}$ can be obtained as follows (note that $\left.y_{n}=h^{[n]}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\alpha)^{-n-1} h^{[n+1]}\left(\varepsilon_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}=(-\alpha)^{-n} h^{[n]}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, h^{[n]}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\alpha)^{-(n+1)} h^{[n+1]}\left(\varepsilon_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)=(-\alpha)^{-n} h^{[n]}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, h^{[n]}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\alpha)^{-1} h^{[n+1]}\left(\delta \varepsilon_{n},-\alpha y_{n}\right)=h^{[n]}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, h^{[n]}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $h$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\alpha^{-1} h(\delta \varepsilon,-\alpha y)=h(\varepsilon, h(\varepsilon, y)) . \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the RG fixed point equation.

### 8.9 Approximate solution of the RG equation

(8.16) is not easy to solve. Therefore, we use the following Ansatz: $\varepsilon=0$ is the critical point, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(0, y)=1-c y^{2} . \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\alpha^{-1} h(0,-\alpha y)=h(0, h(0, y)) \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\alpha^{-1}\left(1-c(\alpha y)^{2}\right)=1-c\left(1-c y^{2}\right)^{2}=1-c+2 c^{2} y^{2}-c^{3} y^{4} \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Truncating this at $O\left[y^{2}\right]$, we require

$$
\begin{align*}
-\alpha^{-1} & =1-c  \tag{8.20}\\
c \alpha & =2 c^{2} . \tag{8.21}
\end{align*}
$$

We can determine $\alpha=1+\sqrt{3}=2.732$. The empirical value is 2.5029 . Not too bad.

### 8.10 Determination of $\delta$

To determine $\delta$ we mus point function to study the $\varepsilon \neq 0$ case, so we study the deviation $\psi_{n}$ from the fixed point.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{[n]}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, y_{n}\right)=h\left(0, y_{n}\right)+\varepsilon_{n} \psi_{n}\left(y_{n}\right) \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce this into (8.15) and linearize as
$(-\alpha)^{-1}\left[h\left(0,-\alpha y_{n}\right)+\varepsilon_{n+1} \psi_{n}\left(-\alpha y_{n}\right)\right]=h\left(0, h\left(0, y_{n}\right)\right)+\varepsilon_{n} \partial_{y} h\left(0, h\left(0, y_{n}\right)\right) \psi_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)+\varepsilon_{n} \psi_{n}\left(h\left(0, y_{n}\right)\right)$.
Define $\mathcal{G}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G} \phi(y)=-\alpha\left[\partial_{y} h(0, h(0,-y / \alpha)) \phi(-y / \alpha)+\phi(h(0,-y / \alpha))\right] \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(-\alpha)^{-1} h\left(0,-\alpha y_{n}\right)=h\left(0, h\left(0, y_{n}\right)\right)$, we get the following equation $\left(y_{n+1}=\right.$ $\left.-\alpha y_{n}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n+1} \psi_{n}(y)=\varepsilon_{n} \mathcal{G} \psi_{n}(y) \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \psi_{n}(y)=\mathcal{G} \psi_{n}(y) \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalue of $\mathcal{G}$ gives $\delta$. We know $h(0, y)=1-\left(1+\alpha^{-1}\right) y^{2}$ so we can compute $\mathcal{G}$. However, I could not get a good approximate value for $\delta=4.66 \cdots$. Try.

