## 11 Lecture 11. Determination of motion

Here, we discuss (analytically) solvable classical mechanics problems. First, we understand the completely integrable cases geometrically (Liouville-Arnold theorem), and then describe such systems in terms of the action-angle variables.

Then, in Lecture 12, a recent integration technique (the Lax pair approach) is introduced. It will be illustrated with the Toda lattice. To discuss the method historically, we go back to Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation. [This naturally leads us to solitons, but I do not go into this vast topic.]

### 11.1 Determination of motion

Here the word 'determine' implies that we can construct a map that allows us to transform the dynamical flow in the phase space into a simple flow ('laminar flow' on a torus just like the rectifiability theorem 3.19): $(Q, P)(P$ is constant and $Q=P t+c)$ as we will see below. That is, to rectify the flow while preserving the structure of Hamiltonian dynamical systems (i.e., by a canonical transformation). If this can be done, the system is said to be integrable.

Thus, we need at least a rudimentary familiarity to the canonical transformation.
Needless to say, not all the systems allow such transformations (only integrable systems). Poincaré's recognition that the three (celestial) body problem is not integrable in this sense was the birth of modern classical mechanics/study of dynamical systems.

### 11.2 Canonical transformation

The transformation $T:(q, p) \rightarrow(Q, P)$ that preserves the form of the canonical equation of motion (10.14) is called a canonical transformation. ${ }^{177}$ Thus, the transformation $T$ to be canonical implies that the equation of motion in terms of the new variables reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Q_{i}}{d t}=\frac{\partial K}{\partial P_{i}}, \frac{d P_{i}}{d t}=-\frac{\partial K}{\partial Q_{i}} \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(Q, P)=H(q(Q, P), p(Q, P))$ is the Hamiltonian in terms of the new variables.

[^0]
### 11.3 Generator of canonical transformation

For (11.1) to hold, we must have the action principle in terms of the new variables $(Q, P)$.

Let $(q, p)$ be the canonical coordinates and $(Q, P)$ the new coordinates (they are phase functions). Then, the Hamilton's principle reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \int(P \dot{Q}-K) d t=0 \tag{11.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we demand (see the remark below)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \int[(p \dot{q}-H)-(P \dot{Q}-K)] d t=0 \tag{11.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the difference of these two integrals can be constant, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p d q-H d t)-(P d Q-K d t)=d F \tag{11.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
d F=p d q-P d Q+(K-H) d t \tag{11.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

must be path-independent. That is, $d F$ must be exact. ${ }^{118}$ Thus, there is a function $F=F(q, Q, t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d F=\sum_{i} p_{i} d q_{i}-\sum_{i} P_{i} d Q_{i}+(K-H) d t \tag{11.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ is called the generator of the canonical transformation $T:(q, p) \rightarrow(Q, P)$. (11.6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial q}=p, \frac{\partial F}{\partial Q}=-P, \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}=K-H \tag{11.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving these equations we can construct the canonical transformation $T$. In particular, if $F$ is time-independent, then $K=H$ is obtained by replacing $q$ and $p$ in $H$ in terms of $Q$ and $P$.
Remark: For the system described by $q$ and $p$ to satisfy the canonical equation of motion, much more general transformations are allowed, but the form with the generator discussed above is the most convenient, especially because the Hamiltonian is virtually preserved if the generator is time-independent. Thus, when we say

[^1]'canonical transformation' we restrict ourselves to this type of transformations.
Applying a sort of Legendre transformation to generators, we can construct different (perhaps more convenient) transformations:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(F+P Q)=p d q+Q d P+(K-H) d t \tag{11.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Thus, replacing $F$ with $G=F+\sum_{i} P_{i} Q_{i}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d G=\sum_{i} p_{i} d q_{i}+\sum_{i} Q_{i} d P_{i}+(K-H) d t \tag{11.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 11.4 Complete integrability

Let $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}\left(F_{1}=H\right)$ be pairwise involutive (i.e., $\left[F_{i}, F_{j}\right]_{P B}=0$ ) smooth functions on $2 n$-mfd $M$. If $F_{i}$ 's are functionally independent (or $\nabla F_{i}$ 's are linearly independent on a dense set of $M$ ), then,
(1) $M_{f}=\left\{x \mid F_{i}(x)=f_{i}\right\}$ is diffeomorphic to $T^{k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.
(2) The Hamiltonian flow on $M_{f}$ takes the following form with the coordinate system on $T^{k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}: \varphi_{1}, \cdots, \varphi_{k} \bmod 2 \pi, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n-k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{i}=\omega_{i}, \quad \dot{y}_{j}=c_{j}, \tag{11.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ and $c$ are constants.

### 11.5 Slightly general form of integrability ${ }^{119}$

For a $n$-dimensional Hamiltonian system suppose there are $n$ first integrals $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}$ (i.e., $\left[H, F_{i}\right]=0$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[F_{i}, F_{j}\right]=\sum_{k} c_{i j}^{k} F_{k} \tag{11.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i j}^{k}$ are constants. If
(1) on $M_{f}=\left\{x \mid F_{i}(x)=f_{i}\right\} F_{i}$ are functionally independent
(2) $\sum_{k} c_{i j}^{k} f_{k}=0$.
(3) The Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of linear combination $\sum \lambda_{i} F_{i}$ is solvable. ${ }^{120}$

[^2]Then, the Hamiltonian dynamical system is solvable by quadrature. p107

### 11.6 Liouville-Arnold's theorem

This is a special case of $\mathbf{1 1 . 4}$ when the motion is bounded (confined in a finite space; $M$ is compact). In this case 11.4 reads:
Let $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}\left(F_{1}=H\right)$ be pairwise involutive (i.e., $\left[F_{i}, F_{j}\right]_{P B}=0$ ) smooth functions on $2 n$-mfd $M$. If $F_{i}$ 's are functionally independent (or $\nabla F_{i}$ 's are linearly independent on a dense set of $M$ ), then
(1) $M_{f}=\left\{x \mid F_{i}(x)=f_{i}\right\}$ is diffeomorphic to $T^{n}$.
(2) The Hamiltonian flow on $M_{f}$ takes the following form with the coordinate system on $T^{n}: \varphi_{1}, \cdots, \varphi_{n} \bmod 2 \pi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{i}=\omega_{i} \tag{11.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ are constants.


Figure 11.1: Arnold-Liouville foliated torus [Fig. 8.3.2 of Abraham \& Marsden]
[Demo] Consider $n$ Hamiltonian dynamical systems on $M$ each of which is governed by $F_{j}(j \in\{1, \cdots, n\})$ as its Hamiltonian. We introduce a canonical coordinate system $(q, p)$ for the phase space. The canonical equation of motion ( $s$ is the time variable) governed by the Hamiltonian $F_{j}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d q}{d s}=\frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial p}, \frac{d p}{d s}=-\frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial q} \tag{11.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F_{j}$ are involutive, the tangent vectors parallel to the flows for these $n$ systems
commute. Let us check this: ${ }^{121}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[F_{1, p} \partial_{q}-F_{1, q} \partial_{p}, F_{2, p} \partial_{q}-F_{2, q} \partial_{p}\right]=\left[F_{1, p} \partial_{q}, F_{2, p} \partial_{q}\right]+\left[F_{1, q} \partial_{p}, F_{2, q} \partial_{p}\right]-\left[F_{1, p} \partial_{q}, F_{2, q} \partial_{p}\right]-\left[F_{1, q} \partial_{p}, F_{2, p} \partial_{q}\right] \tag{11.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[F_{1, p} \partial_{q}, F_{2, p} \partial_{q}\right]=\left(F_{1, p} F_{2, p q}-F_{2, p} F_{1, p q}\right) \partial_{q} .}  \tag{11.15}\\
& {\left[F_{1, q} \partial_{p}, F_{2, q} \partial_{p}\right]=\left(F_{1, q} F_{2, q p}-F_{2, q} F_{1, q p}\right) \partial_{p} .}  \tag{11.16}\\
& {\left[F_{1, p} \partial_{q}, F_{2, q} \partial_{p}\right]=F_{1, p} F_{2, q q} \partial_{p}-F_{2, q} F_{1, p p} \partial_{q}}  \tag{11.17}\\
& {\left[F_{1, q} \partial_{p}, F_{2, p} \partial_{q}\right]=F_{1, q} F_{2, p p} \partial_{q}-F_{2, p} F_{1, q q} \partial_{p}} \tag{11.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Collecting the terms containing $\partial_{q}$, we get
$F_{1, p} F_{2, p q}-F_{2, p} F_{1, p q}+F_{2, q} F_{1, p p}-F_{1, q} F_{2, p p}=-\partial_{p}\left(F_{1, q} F_{2, p}-F_{1, p} F_{2, q}\right)=\partial_{p}\left[F_{2}, F_{1}\right]_{P B}=0$.
Similarly, collecting the terms containing $\partial_{p}$, we get
$F_{1, q} F_{2, q p}-F_{2, q} F_{1, q p}-F_{1, p} F_{2, q q}+F_{2, p} F_{1, q q}=\partial_{q}\left(F_{1, q} F_{2, p}-F_{2, q} F_{1, p}\right)=\partial_{q}\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]_{P B}=0$.
Thus, the Lie bracket (11.14) vanishes. ${ }^{122}$ This implies that the time evolution groups due to $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ commute. Thus there are $n$ independent directions.

The compact manifold $M_{f}$ must be invariant under the $n$-dimensional Abelian group defined by the time evolutions due to $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$. This implies that $M_{f}$ is diffeomorphic to $T^{n}$.

### 11.7 Action-angle variables ${ }^{123}$

If $M$ is compact for a completely integrable system, then $M_{f}$ is diffeomorphic to $T^{n}$. (1) The small nbh of $M_{f}$ in the ambient symplectic mfd $M$ is diffeomorphic to $D \times T^{n}$, where $D$ is a small domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(2) In $D \times T^{n}$ there exists canonical coordinates $\varphi \bmod 2 \pi$ and $I\left(I \in D, \phi \in T^{n}\right.$ in which $F_{k}$ 's depend only on $I$.

[^3]$I$ are called action variables and $\varphi$ angle variables. The completely integrable Hamiltonian $H$ has the form $H=H(I)$. Consequently, the equation of motion reads
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{I}=0, \quad \dot{\varphi}=\omega(I)=\frac{\partial H}{\partial I} \tag{11.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Thus $I$ labels the invariant tori. If the Hessian of $H(I)$ is nondegenerate, the systems is said to be non-degenerate.

### 11.8 Demonstration of $11.7^{124}$

Thanks to the Liouville-Arnold theorem 11.6 in the nbh of the torus $M_{f} \equiv T^{n}$, we can take as coordinates the functions $I_{i}=F_{i}$ and the angles $\varphi_{i}$. Since the differentials $d F_{i}$ are linearly independent, we can use $I$ and $\phi$ as a coordinate system for $D \times T^{n}$. We have to show that $I, \varphi$ system is a canonical coordinate system. We know $\left[I_{i}, I_{j}\right]_{P B}=0$ (involutive invariants). $\left[\varphi_{j}, I_{k}\right]_{P B}$ is a constant on $M_{f}$ according to 11.6 , so it is a function of $I$ only. The Jacobi identity applied to $I_{k}, \varphi_{i}$ and $\varphi_{j}$ implies (here [, ] implies [, $]_{P B}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[I_{k},\left[\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right]\right]+\left[\varphi_{i},\left[\varphi_{j}, I_{k}\right]\right]+\left[\varphi_{j},\left[I_{k}, \varphi_{i}\right]\right]=0 \tag{11.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\left[I_{k},\left[\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right]\right]$ is a function of $I$ only. Since $I$ and $\varphi$ describe the system dynamics, the Poisson bracket determinant $\operatorname{det}\left[I_{k}, \varphi_{i}\right]$ must not be zero. Therefore, we can solve the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[I_{k},\left[\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right]\right]=\sum_{s}\left[I_{k}, \varphi_{s}\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{s}}\left[\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right] \tag{11.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

to conclude that $\partial\left[\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right] / \partial \varphi_{s}$ is a function of $I$ only. Therefore, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right]=\sum_{s} f_{i j}^{s}(I) \varphi_{s}+g_{i j}(I) \tag{11.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the derivatives of $\varphi$ must be single-valued, when $\varphi_{i}$ and $\varphi_{j}$ go around the torus, the Poisson bracket should also return to the original value. Therefore, $\varphi_{s}$ dependence should not exist: $f_{i j}^{s}(I)=0$.

We change $I \rightarrow J$ to make $\left[J_{i}, \varphi_{k}\right]=\delta_{j k}$. Let us check the possibility.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[I_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right]=\sum_{k} \frac{\partial I_{i}}{\partial J_{k}}\left[J_{k}, \varphi_{j}\right]=\frac{\partial I_{i}}{\partial J_{j}} \tag{11.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]The consistency condition reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial J_{s}}\left[I_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right]=\frac{\partial}{\partial J_{j}}\left[I_{i}, \varphi_{s}\right] \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{k}\left[I_{k}, \varphi_{s}\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial I_{k}}\left[I_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right]=\sum_{k}\left[I_{k}, \varphi_{j}\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial I_{k}}\left[I_{i}, \varphi_{s}\right], \tag{11.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

but this follows from the Jacobi identity for $I_{i}, \varphi_{j}$ nd $\varphi_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[I_{i},\left[\varphi_{j}, \varphi_{k}\right]\right]+\left[\varphi_{j},\left[\varphi_{k}, I_{i}\right]\right]+\left[\varphi_{j},\left[I_{i}, \varphi_{k}\right]\right]=0 \Rightarrow\left[\varphi_{j},\left[\varphi_{k}, I_{i}\right]\right]+\left[\varphi_{j},\left[I_{i}, \varphi_{k}\right]\right]=0 \tag{11.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we can obtain $J$ such that $\left[J_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right]=\delta_{i j}$.
The remaining $\operatorname{task}^{125}$ is to guarantee $\left[\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right]=0$. If this is not the case, set $\psi_{i}=\varphi_{i}+f_{i}(J)$. Then, we must solve the following equation for $f$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\psi_{i}, \psi_{j}\right]=\left[\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right]-\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial J_{j}}+\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial J_{i}}=0 \tag{11.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider formally

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\sum_{i<j}\left[\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right] d J_{i} \wedge d J_{j}=\sum_{i<k}\left(\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial J_{k}}-\frac{\partial f_{k}}{\partial J_{i}}\right) d J_{i} \wedge d J_{k}=d \sum_{i} f_{i} d J_{i} \tag{11.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $d q=0$ is the consistency (solvability) condition. This follows from the closedness of the symplectic 2 -form $d \varphi \wedge d J$ (which is a shorthand of $\sum_{i} d \varphi_{i} \wedge d J_{i}$; that is, the invariance of (13.26) in 13.11): $d(d \varphi \wedge d J)=0$; we can compute this 2-form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} d \varphi_{i} \wedge d J_{i}=\sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial J_{j}} d J_{j} \wedge d J_{i}=\sum_{i<j}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial J_{j}}-\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial J_{i}}\right) d J_{j} \wedge d J_{i} \tag{11.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

but note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial I_{j}}-\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial I_{i}}=\left[\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right] \tag{11.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $q$ is a symplectic 2 -form, so $d q=0$.

### 11.9 Calculation of action variables

Let $q$.p be the symplectic coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, and $\gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{n}$ are the fundamental cycles of $M_{f} \equiv T^{n}$. Since $p d q-I d \varphi$ is closed, the difference

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{\gamma_{s}} p d q-\oint_{\gamma_{s}} I d \varphi=\oint_{\gamma_{s}} p d q-2 \pi I_{s} \tag{11.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^5]is a constant. Since the action variables can be determined up to additive constants, this means
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{s}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \oint_{\gamma_{s}} p d q \tag{11.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

### 11.10 Action for harmonic oscillator

Let the Hamiltonian be

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{2} p^{2}+b^{2} q^{2}\right) \tag{11.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $M_{E}$ specified by $H=E$ is an ellipse

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{2}}{2 E / a^{2}}+\frac{q^{2}}{2 E / b^{2}}=1 \tag{11.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its area is $2 \pi E / a b$. The angular frequency is $\omega=a b$ as can be seen from $\dot{p}=-b^{2} q$, $\dot{q}=a^{2} p \Rightarrow \ddot{q}=-(a b)^{2} q$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(E)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \oint p d q=E / a b=E / \omega . \tag{11.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 11.11 Adiabatic invariants

Let $G(q, I)$ be the generating function of the canonical transformation $(q, p) \rightarrow(\omega, I)$ (see (13.4)) for a completely integrable system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial q}=p, \frac{\partial G}{\partial I}=\omega \tag{11.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the system is subjected to a small perturbation $\lambda(t)$. Then, the above canonical transformation now depends on time as well (through $\lambda(t)$ ), so the new Hamiltonian reads to order $O[\lambda]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=H(I)+\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}=H(I)+\Lambda \dot{\lambda}(t) \tag{11.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda=\partial G / \partial \lambda$. Therefore, the perturbed Hamilton's equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{I}=-\frac{\partial K}{\partial \omega}=\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \omega} \dot{\lambda}(t) \tag{11.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lam is a bounded function, so it must be a multiple periodic function. Therefore its derivative must have the vanishing time average. Therefore, it $\lambda$ change very slowly, $I$ must be zero. That is, $I$ is invariant to order $\lambda$. Such a quantity is called an adiabatic invariant.


[^0]:    ${ }^{117}$ Corresponding to unitary transformations in quantum mechanics.

[^1]:    ${ }^{118}$ If form $\theta$ can be written as an (external) derivative of another form $\omega$ as $\theta=d \omega, \theta$ is called an exact form. A form $\theta$ that satisfies $d \theta=0$ is called a closed form. Obviously, an exact form is a closed form.

[^2]:    ${ }^{119}$ Theorem 1 Chapter 4 of V. I. Arnold, V. V. Kozlov and A. I. Neishtadt, Mathematical aspects of classical and celestial mechanics in Dynamical systems III ed. V. I. Arnold (Springer, 1988).
    ${ }^{120}\langle\langle$ Solvable Lie algebra $\rangle$ A Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable, if

    $$
    \mathfrak{g} \supset[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \supset[[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}],[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]] \rightarrow\{0\}
    $$

[^3]:    ${ }^{121}$ We are checking $[X, Y] f=0$ for any differentiable $f$. That is, Two paths going from $(a, b) \rightarrow$ $(A, B)$, that is, $(a, b) \rightarrow(A, b) \rightarrow(A, B)$ and $(a, b) \rightarrow(a, B) \rightarrow(A, B)$ give the same result. Notation: $\partial_{x} f=f_{, x}$ is used.
    ${ }^{122}$ We have shown that $[F, G]_{P B}=0$ implies that the Hamiltonian flows defined by $F$ and by $G$ determine independent (commutative) time evolutions.
    ${ }^{123}$ Nekhoroshev proved a version when the involution relation holds for a subset of $\left\{F_{j}\right\}$ (Arnold DS III p117).

[^4]:    ${ }^{124}$ The proof here paraphrases with details the proof on p115 of Arnold, DS III. A general version for the situation 11.5 also holds (p118 Th10).

[^5]:    ${ }^{125}\left[J_{i}, J_{k}\right]=0$, because $J=J(I)$ and $I$ does not depend on $\varphi$.

