## 21 Orthogonal Polynomials

We can construct a polynomial orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space. They are called orthogonal polynomials, which have a beautiful general theory and many important numerical applications ( $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow 2 2}$ ).
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## Summary:

(1) Recognize that there is a set of relations and formulas common to many (all classical) orthogonal polynomials (21A.3-11).
(2) Generating function is a useful tool to derive recursion relations (21B.4, for example).
(3) Remember where the representative polynomials - Legendre, Hermite, and Chebychev - appear (21B).

## 21.A General Theory

21A. 1 Existence of general theory. The most important fact about orthonormal polynomials is that there is a general theory shared by all the families of (classical $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow 2 1 A . 6}$ Discussion (A)) orthogonal polynomials. The general theory includes generalized Rodrigues' formula, associating (Sturm-Liouville type) eigenvalue problems, generating functions, three term recursion formulas, etc.

21A. 2 Orthogonal polynomials for $L_{2}([a, b], w)$ via Gram-Schmidt. $\left\{1, x, x^{2}, \cdots\right\}$ makes a complete set of functions for $L_{2}([a, b], w)(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 0 . 1 9})$ : notice first that $C^{0}([a, b])$ (the totality of continuous functions on $[a, b]$ ) is dense in this space. Weierstrass' theorem ( $\rightarrow \mathbf{1 7 . 3}$ ) tells us that any continuous function on a finite interval can be uniformly approximated by a polynomial. Hence, the totality of polynomials is dense in $L_{2}([a, b], w)$. Therefore, the set of kets $\{|n\rangle\}$ such that $\langle x \mid n\rangle=x^{n 308}$ is

[^0]a complete set $(\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{2 0 . 3})$ of the Hilbert space $L_{2}([a, b], w)$. In this space the scalar product $(\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{2 0 . 5})$ is defined by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f \mid g\rangle \equiv \int_{a}^{b} \overline{f(x)} g(x) w(x) d x \tag{21.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and the norm $\|f\|_{w} \equiv \sqrt{\langle f \mid f\rangle}$. We apply the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 0 . 1 6})$ to $\{|n\rangle\}$ as follows:
(1) We define $\left|p_{0}\right\rangle=|0\rangle / \sqrt{\langle 0 \mid 0\rangle}$.
(2) Normalizing $|1\rangle-\left|p_{0}\right\rangle\left\langle p_{0} \mid 1\right\rangle$, we construct $\left|p_{1}\right\rangle$.
(3) More generally, normalizing

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n\rangle-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left|p_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle p_{k} \mid n\right\rangle \tag{21.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain $\left|p_{n}\right\rangle$.
$\left\{\left|p_{n}\right\rangle\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L_{2}([a, b], w)$.
The family of orthogonal polynomials of $L_{2}([a, b], w)$ is defined by $\left\langle x \mid p_{n}\right\rangle$ times appropriate $n$-dependent numerical multiplicative factor as seen in 21A.5.

## Exercise.

Apply the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization method to $\left\{x^{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and make an ON basis for $L_{2}([0,1])$. Compute the basis up to the third member of the set.

## 21A. 3 Theorem.

(1) $p_{n}(x)=\left\langle x \mid p_{n}\right\rangle$ is orthogonal to any ( $n-1$ )-order polynomial.
(2) The orthonormal polynomials for $L_{2}([a, b], w)$ are unique, if the coefficients of the highest order terms are chosen to be positive. ${ }^{309}$
These assertions are obviously true by construction, but practically important.

21A. 4 Least square approximation and generalized Fourier expansion. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ be the totality of the polynomials order less than or equal to $n$. The polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ which minimizes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f-P\|_{w} \tag{21.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in L_{2}([a, b], w)$ is called the $n$-th order least square approximation of $f(\boldsymbol{\rightarrow 2 0 . 1 3})$. The ket $|P\rangle$ satisfying this condition is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P\rangle=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|p_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle p_{j} \mid f\right\rangle, \tag{21.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where $\left|p_{i}\right\rangle$ are calculated in 21 A .2 with respect to $w$. That is, $|P\rangle$ is the $n$-th partial sum of the following generalized Fourier expansion $(\boldsymbol{\rightarrow 2 0 . 1 4 )}$ of $|f\rangle$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f\rangle=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|p_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle p_{j} \mid f\right\rangle \tag{21.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Notice that all the general properties of the Fourier series $\mathbf{1 7 . 5}$ apply here as well.

## Exercise.

(1) Consider the step function $\langle x \mid a\rangle=\Theta(x-a)$ on $[-1,1](a \in(-1,1))$. Expand this in terms of Legendre polynomials ( $\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1} \mathbf{A} .5$ ).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle p_{n} \mid a\right\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2(2 n+1)}}\left(P_{n-1}(a)-P_{n+1}(a)\right) . \tag{21.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\langle p_{0} \mid a\right\rangle=(1-a) / \sqrt{2}$ as easily seen. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(x-a)=\frac{1}{2}(1-a)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[P_{n-1}(a)-P_{n+1}(a)\right] P_{n}(x) . \tag{21.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Expand $x^{5}$ into the generalized Fourier series in terms of Legendre polynomials.

21A. 5 Example: Legendre polynomials. A family of orthogonal polynomials of $L_{2}([-1,1])$ called the Legendre polynomials is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{2 n+1}}\left\langle x \mid p_{n}\right\rangle \tag{21.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of orthonormal kets $\left\{\left|p_{n}\right\rangle\right\}$ constructed for $a=-1, b=1$ and $w=1$ in 21A.2. The coefficient $\sqrt{2 /(2 n+1)}$ is the multiplicative factor mentioned in 21A.2. $P_{n}(x)$ is called the $n$-th order Legendre polynomial. According to our notational rule $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 0 . 2 2})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle p_{n} \mid f\right\rangle=\int_{-1}^{1} d x \sqrt{\frac{2 n+1}{2}} P_{n}(x) f(x) \tag{21.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the corresponding generalized Fourier expansion (21.5) in terms of the Legendre polynomials reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2 n+1}{2} P_{n}(x)\left[\int_{-1}^{1} d x P_{n}(x) f(x)\right] . \tag{21.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

21A. 6 Generalized Rodrigues' formula. Let $F_{n}(x)$ be defined on $(a, b) \subset \boldsymbol{R}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}(x)=w(x)^{-1} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left[w(x) s(x)^{n}\right] \tag{21.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ and $s$ are chosen as

| $a$ | $b$ | $w(x)$ | $s(x)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $b$ | $(b-x)^{\alpha}(x-a)^{\beta} \alpha, \beta>-1$ | $(b-x)(x-a)$ |
| $a$ | $+\infty$ | $e^{-x}(x-a)^{\beta} \beta>-1$ | $x-a$ |
| $-\infty$ | $+\infty$ | $e^{-x^{2}}$ | 1 |

As can easily be seen $F_{n}$ is an $n$-th order polynomial $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 A . 1}$ Exercise (D)). $\left\{F_{n}(x)\right\}$ is a orthogonal polynomial system for $L_{2}((a, b), w)$ $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 0 . 1 7}),{ }^{310}$ because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} d x w(x) F_{n}(x) F_{m}(x)=0 \text { for } n \neq m \tag{21.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Demonstrate this.) If the interval $(a, b)$ and the weight function $w$ are given, the orthogonal polynomial set ${ }^{311}$ is uniquely fixed as seen from the Gram-Schmidt construction (up to multiplicative constants) ( $\rightarrow$ 21A.2).

For example, with $w=1$ (that is, $\alpha=\beta=0$ ), $a=-1$ and $b=1$, $F_{n}$ must $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A} .3)$ be proportional to the Legendre polynomial $P_{n}$. Indeed, from (21.11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=\frac{(-2)^{-n}}{n!} F_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2^{n} n!} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{n} . \tag{21.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is called Rodrigues' formula.
With a suitable $n$-dependent numerical coefficient $K_{n}$ a set of orthogonal polynomials $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{K_{n} w(x)} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left[w(x) s(x)^{n}\right] \tag{21.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the generalized Rodrigues formula $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 B} .1) .{ }^{312}$

## Discussion.

(A) Classical polynomials. The generalized Rodrigues' formula can be introduced in a slightly more abstract fashion as follows:
Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}(x)=w(x)^{-1} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left[w(x) s(x)^{n}\right], \tag{21.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the following conditions are required:
(1) $F_{1}(x)$ is a first order polynomial.

[^2](2) $s(x)$ is a polynomial in $x$ of degree less than or equal to 2 with real roots.
(3) $w(x)$ is real, positive and integrable on $[a, b]$ and satisfies the boundary conditions $w(a) s(a)=w(b) s(b)=0$.
It turns out that (i)-(iii) implies that we can only have the cases in the table in 22A. 6 (apart from trivial linear transformations, and multiplicative constants). ${ }^{313}$ These polynomials are called classical polynomials.
(B) Demonstrate with the aid of Rolle's theorem that all the zeros of $P_{n}(x)$ are in $[-1,1]$.

21A. 7 Relation to the Sturm-Liouville problem. $f_{n}(x)$ defined by (21.14) obeys the following equation generally called the SturmLiouville equation ( $\rightarrow \mathbf{1 5 . 4}, \mathbf{3 5 . 1}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d x}\left(w(x) s(x) \frac{d}{d x} f_{n}(x)\right)=\lambda w(x) f_{n}(x) \tag{21.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a pure number given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=-n\left(K_{1} \frac{d f_{1}(0)}{d x}+\frac{n-1}{2} \frac{d^{2} s(x)}{d x^{2}}\right) . \tag{21.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be demonstrated by a tedious but straightforward calculation. See 35.3 Discussion.

21A. 8 Generating functions. In general, the following power series of $\zeta$ is called the generating function of the orthogonal polynomial set $\left\{p_{n}(x)\right\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\zeta, x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} p_{n}(x) \zeta^{n} \tag{21.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{n}$ is a numerical constant introduced to streamline the formula. That there is such a function for any orthogonal polynomial family can be seen from the rewriting of generalized Rodrigues' formula (21.11). Using Cauchy's theorem ( $\rightarrow \mathbf{6 . 1 4}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{K_{n} w(z)} \oint_{\partial D} d t \frac{n!}{2 \pi i(t-z)^{n+1}} w(t) s(t)^{n} \tag{21.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D \subset C$ is a small disk centered at $z$. We define a new variable $\zeta$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\zeta}=a \frac{s(t)}{t-z}, \tag{21.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{313}$ See P Dennery and A Krzywicki, Mathematics for Physicists (Harper and Row, 1967), Section 10.3 .
where $a$ is a numerical factor introduced to streamline the final outcome. In terms of this variable (21.19) can be rewritten generally as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)=\frac{a^{n} n!}{2 \pi i K_{n} w(z)} \oint_{\partial D^{\prime}} d \zeta \frac{1}{\zeta^{n+1}} Q(\zeta, z) \tag{21.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is an appropriate function resulted from the intergrand in (21.19) through the change of variables. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\zeta, z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n}(z) \frac{K_{n} w(z) \zeta^{n}}{a^{n} n!} \tag{21.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

21A. 9 Generating function for Legendre polynomials. For example, for the Legendre polynomials, $K_{n}=(-2)^{n} n!$ and $w(x)=1$. (21.19) reads (or directly from (21.13))

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\partial D} \frac{\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{n}}{[2(t-z)]^{n}} \frac{d t}{t-z}, \tag{21.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called Schläfi's integral. We choose $a=-1 / 2$ in (21.21) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\partial D^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\zeta^{n+1}} \frac{d \zeta}{\sqrt{1-2 z \zeta+\zeta^{2}}} \tag{21.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that ( $\rightarrow 8 \mathrm{~B} .3(\mathrm{i})$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z, \zeta)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2 z \zeta+\zeta^{2}}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n}(z) \zeta^{n} \tag{21.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the generating function for the Legendre polynomials.

## Exercise.

Derive (21.24). Use the new variable (following (21.20)) $\zeta$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\zeta}=\frac{t^{2}-1}{2(t-z)} . \tag{21.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Hint. When the reader solves for $t$, she must choose the correct branch so that $t \rightarrow z$ corresponds to $\zeta \rightarrow 0$.]

21A.10 Three term recursion formula. Let $\left\{\left|p_{n}\right\rangle\right\}$ be a complete set of orthonormal polynomial kets, and $k_{n}$ be the highest order coefficient of the polynomial $p_{n}(x)=\left\langle x \mid p_{n}\right\rangle$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{n}=k_{n+1} / k_{n}, \quad \beta_{n}=\gamma_{n} / \gamma_{n-1}, \quad \alpha_{n}=\gamma_{n}\left\langle p_{n}\right| x\left|p_{n}\right\rangle \tag{21.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n+1}(x)=\left(\gamma_{n} x-\alpha_{n}\right) p_{n}(x)-\beta_{n} p_{n-1}(x) \tag{21.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

this follows easily from (1) of 21A.3.

## Discussion.

Let us demonstrate the assertion.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x|\left(\left|p_{n}\right\rangle-x \frac{k_{n}}{k_{n-1}}\left|p_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \tag{21.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a polynomial of degree at most $n-1$. Therefore, it can be expressed as a sum of $\left\{p_{n-1}, \cdots, p_{0}\right\}$.
(1) Demonstrate, because of 21 A .3 , that only $p_{n-2}$ and $p_{n-1}$ are needed to express $p_{n}-x k_{n} p_{n-1} / k_{n_{1}}$. Already we have the form of (21.24). [Hint. What happens if there are other remaining terms?]
(2) Determine the coefficients.

21A.11 Zeros of orthogonal polynomials. Let $\left\{\left|p_{n}\right\rangle\right\}$ be the orthogonal polynomial kets of $L_{2}([a, b], w)(\boldsymbol{\rightarrow 2 0 . 1 9})$. Then
(1) All the zeros of $p_{n}(x)=\left\langle x \mid p_{n}\right\rangle$ are in the interval $(a, b)$. This is practically very important $(\rightarrow 22 \mathrm{~A} .3)$. For a proof see 35.3 Discussion.
(2) All the zeros of $p_{n}(x)$ are single and the zeros of $p_{n+1}(x)$ are separated by those of $p_{n}(x)$.

## Discussion.

The three term recurrence relation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \boldsymbol{P}(x)=A \boldsymbol{P}(x)+\boldsymbol{q}(x), \tag{21.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{P}=\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n-1}\right)^{T}, A$ is a symmetric matrix, and $\boldsymbol{q}=\left(0, \cdots, 0, k_{n-1} p_{n} / k_{n}\right)$.
Choose $x$ to be a zero $x_{i}$ of $p_{n}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} \boldsymbol{P}\left(x_{i}\right)=A \boldsymbol{P}\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{21.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, the zeros of $p_{n}$ must be the eigenvalues of $A$, so that they must be real.
21A. 12 Remark: how to locate real zeros of polynomials. Drawing graphs with the aid of Mathematica and zooming into the relevant portion of the graphs may be the most practical method. Analytically, there is a famous
Theorem [Sturm]. Assume that the $n$-th order polynomial $P$ does not have any multiple zero. Let $p_{0} \equiv P$ and $p_{1} \equiv P^{\prime}$. Using the theorem of division algorithm, construct $p_{n}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i+1}=p_{i} q_{i}-p_{i-1} \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, n-1) . \tag{21.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V(c)$ be the number of changes of sign in the sequence $p_{0}(c), p_{1}(c), \cdots, p_{n}(c) .{ }^{314}$ The number of zeros in the interval $[a, b]$ is given by $V(a)-V(b)$.

[^3]21A.13 Example of Sturm's theorem. Let us study $f(x)=x\left(x^{2}-\right.$ 1). We trivially know that $0, \pm 1$ are the real zeros. First we construct $p_{i}$ in the theorem as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=x\left(x^{2}-1\right) ; p_{1}=3 x^{2}-1 ; p_{2}=2 x / 3 ; p_{3}=1 \tag{21.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we can make, for example, the following table exhibiting the signs and $V$.

| $a$ | $p_{0}$ | $p_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ | $p_{3}$ | $V(a)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+\infty$ | + | + | + | + | 0 |
| 2 | + | + | + | + | 0 |
| $1 / 2$ | - | - | + | + | 1 |
| $-1 / 2$ | + | - | - | + | 2 |
| $-\infty$ | - | + | - | + | 3 |

For example, $V(-1 / 2)-V(2)=2$, so there must be two zeros in $(-1 / 2,2)$.

## Discussion.

Find the number of positive real roots of the following polynomials.
(1) $P(x)=3 x^{4}+2 x^{2}-x-5$,
(2) $P(x)=13 x^{21}+3 x^{3}-2$,
(3) (Runge's example)
$P(x)=3.22 x^{6}+4.12 x^{4}+3.11 x^{3}-7.25 x^{2}+1.88 x-7.84$.
21A. 14 Descartes' sign rule. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n} \tag{21.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a real coefficient polynomial. Let $W$ be the number of the sign change in the sequence $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ (remove 0 from this sequence before counting). Then the number of strictly positive roots of $P(x)=0$ is given by $W$ or $W$ minus some even positive number. (Hence, if $W=1$, that is the answer.)

## 21.B Representative Examples

21B.1 Table of orthogonal polynomials. ( $\rightarrow$ 2A. 1 Exercise (D)) 21A. 6 tells us that various orthogonal polynomial families can be ob-
tained by choosing $w$ and $s$ appropriately and also by choosing appropriate multiplicative numerical factors $K_{n}$. Some common examples are given as follows.

| name | symbol | domain | $w(x)$ | $s(x)$ | $K_{n}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legendre | $P_{n}$ | $[-1,1]$ | 1 | $1-x^{2}$ | $(-1)^{n} 2^{n} n!$ |
| Chebychev | $T_{n}$ | $[-1,1]$ | $1 / \sqrt{1-x^{2}}$ | $1-x^{2}$ | $(-1)^{n}(2 n-1)!!$ |
| Jacobi | $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ | $[-1,1]$ | $(1-x)^{\alpha}(x+1)^{\beta}$ | $1-x^{2}$ | $(-1)^{n} 2^{n} n!$ |
| Laguerre | $L_{n}$ | $[0, \infty)$ | $e^{-x}$ | $x$ | $n!$ |
| Hermite | $H_{n}$ | $(-\infty, \infty)$ | $e^{-x^{2}}$ | 1 | $(-1)^{n}$ |

Note that $L_{n}$ is $L_{n}^{(0)}$ of 2A.1.
Exercise. Show $T_{n}=n!\sqrt{\pi} P_{n}^{(-1 / 2,1 / 2)} / \Gamma(n+1 / 2)$.
21B. 2 Legendre polynomials. The Legendre polynomials have been discussed above $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A . 5})$. The orthonormal basis of $L_{2}([-1,1])(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 0 . 1 9})$ in terms of the Legendre polynomials is in 21A. 5 with the generalized Fourier expansion formula. The decomposition of unity $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 0 . 1 5})$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(x-y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2 n+1}{2} P_{n}(x) P_{n}(y) . \tag{21.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rodrigues' formula is in 21A.6, and the generating function is given in 21 A.9. We can write down the general formula starting from Rodrigues' formula as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{[n / 2]} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} \frac{(2 n-2 j)!}{(n-j)!(n-2 j)!} x^{n-2 j} \tag{21.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

([.] is Gauss' symbol denoting the largest integer not exceeding $\cdot$. )


## Discussion.

Let $Q_{n}(x)$ be the $n$-th order polynomial with its highest order coefficient normalized to be unity. If its $L_{2}$-distance from 0 is the smallest among such polynomials, $Q_{n}$ is proportional to $P_{n}$. That is, minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1}\left(x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)^{2} d x \tag{21.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to the coefficients. The resultant polynomial is proportional to $P_{n}$.
21B. 3 Sturm-Liouville equation for Legendre polynomials. The differential equation corresponding to (21.16) reads $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 4 C . 1})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-2 x P_{n}^{\prime}(x)+n(n+1) P_{n}(x)=0 \tag{21.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left[\left(1-x^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d x} P_{n}(x)\right]+n(n+1) P_{n}=0 \tag{21.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

21B. 4 Recursion formulas for Legendre polynomials. The three term recursion relation $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1} \mathbf{A . 1 0})$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1) P_{n+1}(x)-(2 n+1) x P_{n}(x)+n P_{n-1}(x)=0 \tag{21.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P_{0}(x)=1$ and $P_{1}(x)=x$. This can also be obtained easily from the generating function (21.25): expand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-2 x \zeta+\zeta^{2}\right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}+(-\zeta+x) w=0 \tag{21.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-2 x \zeta+\zeta^{2}\right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}-\zeta w=0 \tag{21.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}^{\prime}-2 x P_{n}^{\prime}+P_{n-1}^{\prime}-P_{n}=0 \tag{21.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we eliminate $P_{n-1}^{\prime}$ from (21.40) and (21.43), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}^{\prime}-x P_{n}^{\prime}=(n+1) P_{n} \tag{21.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we eliminate $P_{n+1}^{\prime}$ from (21.40) and (21.43), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x P_{n}^{\prime}-P_{n-1}^{\prime}=n P_{n} \tag{21.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining above two formulas, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}^{\prime}-P_{n-1}^{\prime}=(2 n+1) P_{n} . \tag{21.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

21B. 5 Legendre polynomials, some properties.
(1) $P_{n}(x)$ is an odd (resp., even) function, if $n$ is odd (resp., even): $P_{n}(x)=(-1)^{n} P_{n}(-x), P_{n}(1)=1$ and $P_{n}(-1)=(-1)^{n} . \quad P_{2 n}(0)=$ $\binom{-1 / 2}{n}$ (see Exercise below).
(2) $\left|P_{n}(x)\right| \leq 1$.
(3) All the zeros of $P_{n}$ are simple and in $(-1,1)(\rightarrow$ 21A.11 $)$.
(4) If $\Pi_{n}$ is an $n$-th order polynomial satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \Pi_{n}(x) x^{k} d x=0 \tag{21.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in\{0,1, \cdots, n-1\}$, then $\Pi_{n} \propto P_{n}(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A . 3 ( 2 )})$.
[Demo of (2)] This can be proved with the aid of Schläfli's integral (21.23). We choose for the intergration path to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=z+\sqrt{z^{2}-1} e^{i \phi} \tag{21.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\phi \in[-\pi, \pi)$. Note that $d t /(t-z)=i d \phi$. Changing the integration variable from $t$ to $\phi$ in (21.23), we get the following Laplace's first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left[x+\sqrt{x^{2}-1} \cos \phi\right]^{n} d \phi \tag{21.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}(\cos \theta)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}|\cos \theta+i \sin \theta \cos \phi|^{n} d \phi \leq 1 . \tag{21.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Exercise

$P_{2 n}(0)$ can be obtained from Rodrigues' formula (21.11), which reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2 n}(0)=(-1)^{n} \frac{\Gamma(n+1 / 2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n+1)} . \tag{21.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

21B. 6 Hermite polynomials. The orthonormal basis $\left\{\left|h_{n}\right\rangle\right\}$ for $L_{2}\left((-\infty, \infty), e^{-x^{2}}\right)(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 0 . 1 9})$ obtained by the Gram-Schmidt method applied to monomials $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A} \mathbf{A})$ is written in terms of the Hermite polynomials $H_{n}(x)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x \mid h_{n}\right\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^{n} n!\sqrt{\pi}}} H_{n}(x), \tag{21.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rceil}(-)^{n} \frac{n!}{m!(n+1-2 m)!}(2 x)^{n+1-2 m} \tag{21.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

([•] is Gauss' symbol denoting the largest integer not exceeding •.) The generalized Rodrigues formula ( $\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A} \mathbf{A}$ ) for the Hermite polynomials is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(x)=(-1)^{n} e^{x^{2}} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}} e^{-x^{2}} \tag{21.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generating function $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A} \mathbf{A})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{H}(z, \zeta)=e^{2 z \zeta-\zeta^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_{n}(z)}{n!} \zeta^{n} . \tag{21.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H_{n}$ is an even (resp., odd) function, if $n$ is even (resp., odd).


Warning. Many authors use the weight $e^{-x^{2} / 2}$ instead of $e^{-x^{2}}$. If we write the Hermite polynomials defined for this weight as $H_{n}^{*}(x)$, then the generalized Rodrigues formula ( $\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A . 6}$ ) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}^{*}(x)=(-)^{n} e^{x^{2} / 2} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}} e^{-x^{2} / 2} \tag{21.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(x)=2^{n / 2} H_{n}^{*}(\sqrt{2} x), \quad H_{n}^{*}(x)=2^{-n / 2} H_{n}(x / \sqrt{2}) \tag{21.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Discussion.

To demonstrate the completeness of the Hermite polynomials, Weierstrass' theorem $\mathbf{1 7 . 3}$ is not enough, because the latter is about a finite interval. To show the completeness with respect to the $L_{2}$-norm we have only to show the completeness of polynomials. This can be demonstrated with the aid of Weierstrass' theorem on increasingly large intervals.

Exercise.
(A) From the generating function show

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x^{2} / 2} H_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{i^{n} \sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i x y-y^{2} / 2} H_{n}(y) d y \tag{21.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be split into real and imaginary part relations (Lebedev).
(B) From the generating function we obtain the following generalized Fourier expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{a x}=e^{a^{2} / 4} \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{n}}{2^{n} n!} H_{n}(x) \tag{21.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is good for all $x \in \boldsymbol{R}$.
(C) Compute the generalized Fourier expansion of $e^{-a x^{2}}$ in terms of Hermite polynomials. The expansion coefficients can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2 n}=\frac{1}{2^{2 n}(2 n)!\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(a+1) x^{2}} H_{2 n}(x) d x \tag{21.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute the integral use (21.69) below. The $x$-integration can be done and we are left with

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2 n}=\frac{(-1)^{n} a^{n}}{\sqrt{\pi}(2 n)!(1+a)^{n+1 / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} s^{n-1 / 2} d s \tag{21.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use the Gamma function ( $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{9 . 6}$ ) to obtain the final result (Lebedev)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2 n}=\frac{(-1)^{n} a^{n}}{2^{2 n} n!(1+a)^{n+1 / 2}} \tag{21.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

21B.7 Sturm-Liouville equation for Hermite polynomials. The formula corresponding to (21.16) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}^{\prime \prime}-2 x H_{n}^{\prime}+2 n H_{n}=0 \tag{21.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

21B. 8 Recurrence equations for Hermite polynomials. The three term recurrence relation $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{1 0})$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+1}+2 x H_{n}+2 n H_{n-1}=0 \tag{21.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial w_{H}}{\partial \zeta}=-2(z+\zeta) w \tag{21.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

From

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial w_{H}}{\partial z}=2 \zeta w \tag{21.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+1}^{\prime}=-2(n+1) H_{n} \tag{21.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Exercise.

An integral formula for Hermite polynomials can be obtained with the aid of

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-x^{2}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}} \cos 2 x t d t \tag{21.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Hint. Note that the integrand is an even function.] Putting this into the generalized Rodrigues' formula (calculate the odd and even $n$ cases separately, and unify the results), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(x)=\frac{2^{n}(-i)^{n} e^{x^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}+2 i t x} t^{n} d t \tag{21.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

21B.9 Chebychev polynomials. These polynomials are best introduced as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(x)=\cos \left(n \cos ^{-1} x\right) \tag{21.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalized Rodrigues formula ( $\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A . 6}$ ) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(x)=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{(2 n-1)!!} \sqrt{1-x^{2}} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{n-1 / 2} \tag{21.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be transformed into (21.70) with the aid of the binomial theorem: it is easy to demonstrate that this formula yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(x+i \sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right)^{n}+\left(x-i \sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right)^{n}\right] \tag{21.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reduces to $\cos n \theta$ with $x=\cos \theta$.
The orthonormal basis $\left\{\left|t_{n}\right\rangle\right\}$ of $L^{2}\left([-1,1], 1 / \sqrt{\left.1-x^{2}\right)}\right)(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 0 . 1 9})$ obtained by the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization of monomials ( $\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A . 6}$ ) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x \mid t_{n}\right\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} T_{n}(x) \tag{21.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generating function $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A . 8})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-z^{2}}{1-2 x z+z^{2}}=T_{0}(x)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{n}(x) z^{n} \tag{21.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

The highest order coefficient of $T_{n}$ is $2^{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$. The three term recursion formula $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 1 A . 1 0})$ is ${ }^{315}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n+1}(x)=2 x T_{n}(x)-T_{n-1}(x) \tag{21.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=1,2, \cdots$ with $T_{0}=1, T_{1}(x)=x$.


## Exercise.

(1) Demonstrate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-x T_{n}^{\prime}(x)+n^{2} T_{n}(x)=0 \tag{21.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Demonstrate the generating function for Chebychev polynomials (21.74) as elegantly as possible. [Hint. Use ( $\underset{21.70}{ }$ ).]

## 21B.10 Remarkable properties of Chebychev polynomials.

(1) Theorem. Let $p_{n}(x)$ be a polynomial of order $n(\geq 1)$ whose coefficient of $x^{n}$ is unity. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \in[-1,1]}\left|p_{n}(x)\right| \geq 2^{1-n} \tag{21.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $p_{n}(x)=T_{n}(x) / 2^{n-1}$.
(2) The best (w.r.t. the sup norm) $n$-th order polynomial approximant of $x^{n+1}$ on $[-1,1]$ is given by $T_{n+1}(x) / 2^{n}-x^{n+1}$. This property makes the Chebychev polynomial very important in approximation theory of functions.
(3) $x_{k+1}=T_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)$ defines a sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots$ from the initial condition $x_{0}$. This is a typical chaotic sequence. Among any continuous functions with $n$ laps, $T_{n}(x)$ gives the most chaotic sequences on the average.
${ }^{315}$ This is nothing but $\cos (n+1) x+\cos (n-1) x=2 \cos x \cos n x$.

## Discussion.

(A) (1) above implies that if the $n$-th order polynomial $Q_{n}$ defined on $[-1,1]$ with its highest order coefficient normalized to be unity and if its maximum deviation from zero is the smallest among such polynomials, then $Q_{n}$ is proportional to the order $n$ Chebychev polynomial.
(B) Take $T_{2}(x)$. Demonstrate that there are two intervals $I$ and $J$ in $[-1,1]$ which share at most one point such that $T_{2}(I) \cap T_{2}(J) \supset I \cup J$. In general, if the reader can find two positive integers and two intervals $I$ and $J$ sharing at most one point such that $f^{n}(I) \cap f^{m}(J) \supset I \cup J$, then $f$ exhibits chaos on the inteval containing both $I$ and $J$. That is, there is an invariant set $\Omega$ of $f^{N}$ for some positive integer $N$ such that $f^{N}$ restricted to $\Omega$ is isomorphic to the coin-tossing process.).


[^0]:    ${ }^{308}$ For the notational convention see $\mathbf{2 0 . 2 1}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{309}$ Here, it is not meant that the orthonormal basis in terms of polynomials is unique (of course, not). If we demand that there are no two polynomials of the same order in the basis, the choice is unique.

[^2]:    ${ }^{310}$ If $a$ and $b$ are finite, then $L_{2}((a, b), w)=L_{2}([a, b], w)$.
    ${ }^{311}$ We assume that the polynomials are ordered according to their order $(\rightarrow \mathbf{2 0 . 1 9})$.
    ${ }^{312}$ Not all the orthogonal polynomials can be obtained from the formula; only the so-called classical polynomials.

[^3]:    ${ }^{314}$ Remove $p_{i}(c)$ if it is zero from the sequence.

